Transportation Impact Study: Submittal Checklist



	GOI	THEE STEEKING
Ex	ecutive S	Summary
	Executi	ve Summary contains key findings and Recommendations
Та	ble of Co	ontents/List of Figures/Charts/Tables
	All sect	ions, tables, and figures are included in the Table of Contents or List of Figures/Charts/Tables
Int	roductio	on .
	The int	roduction includes a detailed purpose statement, including:
	0	site location
	0	type of proposed development
	0	TIS Level (II, III, or IV)
	The int	roduction includes detailed study objectives
	The int	roduction includes a listing of all roads and intersections studied
De	velopme	ent Site
	The Development Site is clearly described, including:	
	0	the location,
	0	existing land uses,
	0	proposed land uses and sizes,
	0	access locations, parking,
	0	other key information about the site and development, and
	0	study scenarios.
Exi	isting Co	nditions
	The exi	sting conditions outlined in the report include:
	0	Corridor traffic volumes, classifications, and number of lanes
	0	Intersection traffic volumes and turning counts
	0	Available bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure
	0	Available transit infrastructure
Fo	recasts	
	The site	e traffic forecasts include:
	0	Raw daily and peak hour trip generation for each land use
	0	Total daily and peak hour trip generation
	0	Trip distribution which includes all adjacent, relevant roads
	The no	n- site traffic forecasting includes:
	0	Assumed growth rates for background traffic
	0	Traffic impacts of known future developments
	The red	quired number of future scenarios are included
	The required future scenarios follow standard time frames or includes an explanation of why other	

Transportation Impact Study Submittal Checklist

time frames were used.

Re	Relationship to Current Plans				
	The study references all relevant planning documents, including:				
	 Regional plans from the OTO 				
	 County comprehensive plans 				
	 City Comprehensive plans 				
	 City/county small area plans 				
	The study demonstrates compatibility with regional transportation-related objectives				
	The study demonstrates compatibility with future land-use visions of any small area plans that cover the development site.				
	Any planned driveways conform with local, or OTO, design standards.				
Tra	Traffic Evaluation				
	The Traffic Evaluation includes the appropriate intersections and corridors (determined by peak				
	hour trip generation or new access to expressways and freeways).				
	The Traffic Evaluation employs correct capacities for the corridor analysis				
	The Traffic Evaluation uses the latest edition of the Highway Capacity Manual.				
	The Traffic Evaluation includes:				
	o daily volumes				
	o a minimum of two (2) peak hours.				
	The Traffic Evaluation includes the all appropriate time-frame scenarios, including build and no-				
	build.				
	The Traffic Evaluation includes micro-simulations, if a special situation exists that precludes the				
	HCM, intersections are well over capacity, traffic control options are mixed in the corridor, or				
	visualization is needed to accurately communicate the project to public officials.				
	The Traffic Evaluation employed a queue-length analysis for any side street stop-controlled				
	intersections.				
	The Traffic Evaluation proposes the lowest cost mitigation measure for any corridor with a V/C ratio				
	over 0.85 or any intersection with an LOS of E or less.				
	The Traffic Evaluation includes the modeled impacts of any proposed mitigation measure.				
Paı	rking Evaluation				
	The Parking Evaluation includes the number of planned auto parking spaces in the development.				
	The Parking Evaluation includes an accurate description of the community's auto parking				
	requirements.				
	The Parking Evaluation includes parking demand information for the appropriate land-use codes.				
	The Parking Evaluation includes a discussion of planned bicycle parking and of any community				
	bicycle parking requirements.				
	The Parking Evaluation includes a justification for the provision of fewer parking spaces than				
	provided in the code, especially referencing any site-specific features that might encourage				
	alternative modes of travel and reduce parking demand.				
	The bicycle and pedestrian component of the Parking Evaluation correlates to the discussion in the				
	Multi-modal Evaluation.				

Mι	ulti-Modal Evaluation
	The transit component of the Multi-modal Evaluation at a minimum considers the site's accessibility
	to community-based employment services provided by OATS, Inc.
	The transit component of the Multi-modal Evaluation in high traffic-generating Level II and all Level
	III studies in communities with fixed-route transit services contains some consideration of transit
	stop provision.
	The bicycle component of the Multi-modal Evaluation identifies ways, however minor, the
	development will improve the bicycle travel in and around the development site.
	The pedestrian component of the Multi-modal Evaluation describes clearly defined pedestrian
	movements within and around the development site.
Sig	ht Distance Review
	The Sight Distance Review contains an analysis using methodologies outlined in the latest version of
	the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) A Policy on
	Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. The analysis includes a sufficient number of surveys and
	accounts for heavy truck traffic.
	The Sight Distance Review describes how the site plan includes no landscaping or signage that would
	inhibit sight distances.
Sit	e Review
	The Site Review describes compliance with standard requirements from the Manual on Uniform
	Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), the American with Disabilities Act, or other similar manuals, with a
	focus on striping and signage, when appropriate.
	The Site Review describes how cars, trucks, buses, bicycles, and people with circulate through the
	development site, including deliveries, drive-throughs, loading docks, and truck routes, when
	appropriate.
	The Site Review includes turning templates to demonstrate adequacy of restricted turns or areas of
	limited maneuverability, when appropriate.
	The Site Review includes a clear discussion of how the site plan manages and addresses areas of
	conflict, locations where car, truck, bicycle, pedestrian, or other types of travel interact and cross,
	when appropriate.
	The Site Review includes discussion of site, or use, specific challenges and the design compromises
	that were made within the site.
Со	nclusions and Recommendations
	Every key finding and recommendation is listed in the Conclusions and Recommendations
	component.
Sui	pporting Materials
.	 Supporting materials necessary to recreate the analyses performed during the complete of
	this study are included, such as a Site plan, collected turning movement counts, detailed trip
	and parking generation information, capacity result print-outs, and other information as
	necessary.